IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 18 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 285 OF 2006

GURUDAS B. PEDNEKAR, PANAJI-GOA. ....Applicant

Versus

MR. S.A. DESHPRABHU AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Applicant In Person,

Mr. Sudesh Usgaonkar, Advocate for R.3.

Coram:- DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 11th September, 2006

P.C.:

Heard the petitioner/applicant in person.

We have perused the Order dated 8th August, 2006 against which review is sought. The petitioner, in person, states that the petitioner will not even serve a copy of this Review Petition on the respondent, as he has exhausted all his resources. We have perused our Order dated 8th August, 2006 which very clearly records that no case was made out and that constructive res-judicata would operate.

Hence no case is made out for review. Review Petition stands dismissed.

DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

sl.